# Navigating Post-War Politics: The Formation of Israel and the WHO

Douglas C. Youvan

doug@youvan.com

June 28, 2023

This comprehensive exploration dives into the critical diplomatic decisions made in the aftermath of World War II that led to the establishment of Israel and the World Health Organization. Through an in-depth analysis of key figures from different nations, it presents a detailed narrative of the geopolitical and social dynamics that shaped these historical events. This examination provides valuable insights into the complexities of international diplomacy, the interplay of national interests and global cooperation, and the lasting impacts of these pivotal decisions on our world today.

**Keywords**: World War II, establishment of Israel, formation of World Health Organization, international diplomacy, geopolitical dynamics, social dynamics, Harry S. Truman, Ernest Bevin, Georges Bidault, Chaim Weizmann, Jawaharlal Nehru, Andrei Vyshinsky, Jan Christiaan Smuts, Lester B. Pearson, Carlos P. Romulo, Trygve Lie, Henri Bonnet, Szeming Sze, national interests, global cooperation, diplomatic decision-making.

## Introduction: The Global Landscape Post World War II

The end of World War II ushered in a new era, with nations across the globe seeking to rebuild, redefine, and reform the devastated world they once knew. The global landscape was undergoing tectonic shifts, driven by a desire to learn from the war's horrors and create mechanisms to prevent a recurrence. Among the multitude of decisions made in the tumultuous years that followed, two initiatives stand out in their lasting global impact: the establishment of Israel and the formation of the World Health Organization (WHO).

The formation of Israel was a direct response to the Jewish Holocaust, one of the most gruesome acts of genocide in human history. It represented a safe haven for Jews worldwide, an assertion of Jewish self-determination, and a new home for displaced survivors of the Holocaust. The idea was not without controversy, as it involved the partition of Palestine, a region with a complex and delicate social, religious, and political tapestry. Nonetheless, many nations and key figures rallied behind the cause, advocating for the Jewish state.

On the other hand, the World Health Organization emerged from a collective understanding of the necessity for global health cooperation. The war had taken an immeasurable toll on human lives and health infrastructure worldwide. The spread of diseases, malnutrition, and the overall degradation of public health highlighted the need for a dedicated international body to tackle such health crises. This need led to the creation of the WHO, an organization designed to monitor, guide, and assist health initiatives around the world.

The intersection of these two disparate but significant global initiatives paints a rich picture of the post-WWII landscape. It also serves as an intriguing backdrop against which influential figures

maneuvered, each driven by their unique perspectives, agendas, and geopolitical considerations. The array of personalities who played pivotal roles in these endeavors, either directly or indirectly, exemplifies the dynamics of global diplomacy and leadership during this era. Their actions and decisions had profound and lasting impacts, shaping not only the course of the immediate post-war years but also the world we inhabit today.

## Harry S. Truman: A Steadfast Ally

Harry S. Truman, the 33rd President of the United States, ascended to the office during a transformative period in world history. The world was reeling from the aftermath of World War II, and the process of healing and rebuilding was arduous. Amidst this chaos, two significant global initiatives took shape, and Truman found himself at the helm of these developments: the formation of Israel and the establishment of the World Health Organization (WHO).

Truman's role in the establishment of Israel was characterized by empathy for the Jewish people's suffering during the Holocaust, coupled with a pragmatic approach to international politics. The plight of Jewish displaced persons was a key factor in Truman's advocacy for a Jewish homeland. He faced considerable resistance both domestically and internationally. Despite these challenges, Truman was firm in his belief that the establishment of a Jewish state would provide a lasting solution to the Jewish refugee problem that the world was grappling with post-WWII.

On May 14, 1948, just minutes after Israel declared independence, Truman extended de facto recognition to the new nation, marking a crucial endorsement. This decision had far-reaching implications, lending significant legitimacy to the fledgling state and setting a precedent for other nations to follow.

Parallelly, the establishment of the World Health Organization represented a different facet of the post-war reconstruction efforts. The WHO was designed to monitor, guide, and assist health initiatives around the world, a need that had become glaringly apparent due to the widespread health crises triggered by the war. As one of the leaders of the free world, Truman understood the importance of global health cooperation and threw his support behind this initiative. The United States was one of the first countries to sign and accept the WHO's constitution, further illustrating Truman's commitment to global health.

In summary, Truman's presidency was marked by a steadfast commitment to principles he believed in and actions that reflected his vision of a just and healthy world. His support for both Israel and the WHO underlined his understanding of the complexities of the post-war world and his willingness to make decisions that would shape the course of history. Truman's decisions echoed not just in his time, but their reverberations continue to be felt in international relations today.

## Ernest Bevin: Britain's Diplomatic Maneuvers

Ernest Bevin, a leading British labour politician and the Foreign Secretary in Clement Attlee's post-war government, was at the heart of the United Kingdom's strategic decisions during the tumultuous aftermath of World War II. Navigating the choppy waters of international relations, Bevin's influence was strongly felt in two significant events: the partition of Palestine leading to the establishment of Israel and the formation of the World Health Organization (WHO).

Bevin's stance on the Palestine issue was contentious and multifaceted. He was tasked with managing the complexities of the British Mandate for Palestine during a time when calls for a Jewish state grew stronger in the face of the Holocaust's

horrifying revelations. The situation was further complicated by the escalating tensions between Jewish immigrants and the Arab population in Palestine. Despite these difficulties, Bevin sought to achieve a balance, advocating for a bi-national solution to avoid inflaming tensions. Yet, when the United Nations voted on the partition of Palestine, the United Kingdom chose to abstain, reflecting the complexities of the situation.

Despite the controversial nature of the Palestine issue, Bevin's administration was instrumental in handling the British transition from colonial authority to supporting the UN's decision. Despite not voting for the partition, Britain did not oppose it, ultimately facilitating the creation of Israel.

Parallel to the intricate politics of the Middle East, Bevin was also involved in Britain's post-war healthcare initiatives, most notably the formation of the National Health Service (NHS). It was this ethos of health as a human right that also underpinned Britain's support for the WHO. Bevin recognized the necessity of international cooperation in health matters, particularly after witnessing the devastating health crises that followed World War II.

Under Bevin's influence, Britain became one of the founding members of the WHO, extending its commitment to universal health beyond its borders. This initiative underlined Britain's role as a global actor keen on enhancing international cooperation in critical areas such as public health.

Ernest Bevin's diplomatic maneuvers highlight the careful navigation required in the post-war world, characterized by significant geopolitical changes. His efforts underscored Britain's position as a key player in international relations, shaping crucial decisions in a world emerging from the shadows of a destructive war.

## Georges Bidault: The French Connection

Georges Bidault, a key figure in the French Resistance during World War II and a leading politician in the post-war Fourth French Republic, played a significant role in the establishment of Israel and the formation of the World Health Organization (WHO).

As the French Foreign Minister from 1947 to 1954, Bidault held considerable sway over France's decision-making processes on international issues. During the post-war period, one of the most significant decisions on the international stage concerned the creation of a Jewish state. France, bearing witness to the horrific effects of the Holocaust, faced a moral and geopolitical dilemma. After much deliberation, France decided to vote in favor of the United Nations partition plan for Palestine in 1947. While it's difficult to attribute this decision to Bidault alone, as Foreign Minister, his influence on the decision-making process cannot be understated. France's vote marked a critical moment in the creation of Israel, underlining the nation's commitment to providing a safe haven for the Jewish people after the atrocities they endured.

Parallel to the geopolitical dynamics of the Middle East, the formation of the World Health Organization was taking place. The WHO was a testament to the global community's commitment to prioritizing health and ensuring cooperation among nations to combat health crises. This initiative resonated with the principles of 'liberté, égalité, fraternité' that France holds dear. Under Bidault's watch, France became one of the founding members of the WHO, underscoring its commitment to advancing global health.

Georges Bidault's role in these processes paints a picture of a man and a nation grappling with the world's challenges following

World War II. Balancing humanitarian concerns with geopolitical realities, Bidault's influence on the formation of Israel and the WHO is a testament to France's proactive role in shaping the post-war world order. His contributions provide a fascinating insight into the international dynamics of the era, highlighting the complexities of decision-making on the global stage.

## Dr. Chaim Weizmann: A Scientist at the Helm of a Nation

Dr. Chaim Weizmann, a renowned scientist and a visionary Zionist leader, played a crucial role in the establishment of Israel. As the first President of Israel and a leading advocate for the Jewish state, Weizmann not only contributed to the realization of Israel but also guided its early years. While his involvement in the creation of the World Health Organization (WHO) was not direct, the ethos that he imbued in the nascent state of Israel bore a resonance with the principles of the WHO.

Weizmann’s contributions to the establishment of Israel were multifaceted. A chemist by training, he used his scientific acumen and international contacts to advance the Zionist cause. His advocacy for a Jewish homeland gained considerable momentum in the wake of World War II and the Holocaust. Through skillful diplomacy, Weizmann succeeded in rallying support from key global powers, including the United States and the Soviet Union, culminating in the United Nations’ resolution to partition Palestine in 1947.

Weizmann’s vision for Israel was not limited to political sovereignty; it included an emphasis on science, education, and healthcare. He believed that these sectors were fundamental to the prosperity of the newly formed nation. This vision led to the establishment of institutions such as the Weizmann Institute of Science and the creation of a robust healthcare system.

The latter, a commitment to universal health coverage, resonated with the ethos of the WHO. Although Weizmann did not directly contribute to the formation of the WHO, the principles he championed echoed the organization's core objective - the attainment by all peoples of the highest possible level of health. The alignment of these principles signifies the broader ideological convergence in the post-war world, focusing on safeguarding human health and dignity.

In summary, Dr. Chaim Weizmann's leadership in the formation of Israel and his emphasis on healthcare reflect a profound understanding of the challenges of nation-building and the vital importance of health to societal well-being. His vision, underpinned by a fusion of political astuteness and scientific pragmatism, contributed to shaping Israel and indirectly mirrored the WHO's global health agenda.

## Jawaharlal Nehru: India's Tryst with Diplomacy

As the first Prime Minister of newly independent India, Jawaharlal Nehru found himself in the crucible of post-WWII diplomacy. India's positions on the global stage, particularly regarding the establishment of Israel and the formation of the World Health Organization (WHO), were largely shaped by Nehru's views and ideologies.

Regarding the creation of Israel, Nehru's stance was delicate and nuanced. On one hand, Nehru, who was deeply impacted by the horrors of the Holocaust, empathized with the Jewish people's suffering. However, India had always fostered strong ties with Arab nations and empathized with the Palestinian cause. Balancing these considerations, India adopted a measured stance. While it voted against the UN partition plan of Palestine in 1947, it did not deny Israel's right to exist. The decision was reflective of Nehru's diplomatic acumen, wherein he managed to

navigate the intricate international dynamics while upholding India's commitment to anti-colonial struggles and international solidarity.

Concurrently, Nehru's vision for India was one where science and health were to be critical pillars in the new nation's development. This vision extended to his approach to global health diplomacy. Recognizing the necessity of global cooperation to combat health challenges, India, under Nehru's leadership, became one of the founding members of the WHO. The WHO's establishment aligned well with Nehru's broader vision of India being an active participant in shaping the post-war world order and promoting global health.

In essence, Jawaharlal Nehru's approach to international diplomacy was a complex interplay of ideological commitment, pragmatism, and a vision for a healthier world. His positions on the formation of Israel and the WHO exemplify his deft handling of complex geopolitical issues and the aspirations of a newly independent nation stepping onto the global stage. His leadership, therefore, serves as a fascinating case study in post-WWII diplomacy.

## Andrei Vyshinsky: The Soviet Influence

Andrei Vyshinsky, a prominent Soviet diplomat and the Foreign Minister of the Soviet Union from 1949 to 1953, played a significant role in determining the Soviet stance on pivotal international issues, including the establishment of Israel and the formation of the World Health Organization (WHO).

The Soviet Union's position on the creation of Israel was guided by a combination of ideological considerations and geopolitical realities. As a nation that had borne the brunt of the Holocaust's devastation, the Soviet Union was acutely aware of the Jewish

people's plight. Moreover, it perceived the establishment of Israel as a blow to British colonial interests in the Middle East, aligning with its broader anti-imperialistic stance. Thus, when the United Nations proposed the partition of Palestine, the Soviet Union, under Vyshinsky's diplomatic guidance, voted in favor, playing a crucial role in garnering international support for Israel's establishment.

Simultaneously, the formation of the World Health Organization represented an opportunity for the Soviet Union to engage in international cooperation for global health. The horrors of World War II had taken a heavy toll on the Soviet Union, both in terms of human lives and infrastructure, including health facilities. Therefore, the idea of a dedicated international body to address global health challenges resonated with Soviet leadership.

While the Soviet Union initially joined the WHO as a founding member, it later withdrew in 1949 due to growing tensions with Western nations during the early years of the Cold War. However, Vyshinsky's role in the Soviet Union's initial involvement with the WHO illustrates the complexity of international health diplomacy amidst the political tumult of the post-war period.

In conclusion, Andrei Vyshinsky's influence on the Soviet Union's decisions concerning the formation of Israel and the WHO provides a fascinating glimpse into the political maneuverings of the post-WWII era. His diplomatic efforts highlight the multifaceted considerations that shaped international diplomacy during this transformative period, underscoring the intricate interplay of ideology, humanitarianism, and geopolitical strategy.

## Jan Christiaan Smuts: South Africa's Diplomatic Legacy

Jan Christiaan Smuts, former Prime Minister of South Africa and a leading statesman on the international stage, had considerable influence on South Africa's stance concerning the establishment of Israel and the formation of the World Health Organization (WHO).

Smuts, a noted philosopher and military leader, was a prominent figure in the British war cabinet during World War II and was instrumental in founding the United Nations. His vision of holistic well-being, which he referred to as 'holism', was reflected in South Africa's approach to post-war international relations.

When the United Nations voted on the partition of Palestine, South Africa, under Smuts's leadership, voted in favor of the proposal. The decision was guided by multiple factors. As an ally of Britain, Smuts was keenly aware of the complex dynamics in the Middle East. At the same time, South Africa had a substantial Jewish population that was largely supportive of the creation of a Jewish state. Smuts's decision to support the partition reflected a diplomatic balance between respecting the aspirations of South African Jews and recognizing the geopolitical realities of the time.

Simultaneously, the formation of the World Health Organization presented an opportunity for global cooperation in the face of widespread health challenges post-WWII. In line with Smuts's philosophy of holism, which emphasized the interconnectedness of all living things, South Africa became a founding member of the WHO. Smuts's commitment to global health mirrored his broader vision of a world where nations collaborate for the common good.

In summary, Jan Christiaan Smuts's diplomatic legacy illuminates South Africa's role in the post-WWII international arena. His influence on South Africa's decisions regarding the formation of Israel and the WHO underscored the need for balanced

diplomacy and international collaboration in addressing global challenges. His legacy provides a nuanced perspective on the complex interplay of national interests and international cooperation in the transformative era following WWII.

## Lester B. Pearson: Canada's Multilateral Vision

Lester B. Pearson, a career diplomat who would later become the Prime Minister of Canada, played an essential role in shaping Canada's approach to international relations in the aftermath of World War II. His influence on Canada's stance concerning the establishment of Israel and the formation of the World Health Organization (WHO) reflected a broader commitment to multilateralism and international cooperation.

Pearson, then serving as Canada's Secretary of State for External Affairs, was a key decision-maker when it came to Canada's position on the United Nations' proposed partition of Palestine. Recognizing the historic injustices suffered by the Jewish people and the complex geopolitical realities of the Middle East, Pearson helped guide Canada towards a decision to support the partition plan, thus contributing to the international consensus that led to the establishment of Israel.

Pearson's approach was rooted in a deep commitment to multilateralism as a means to address global challenges. This commitment extended to global health issues, which were increasingly recognized as pivotal in the post-war era. Under Pearson's diplomatic leadership, Canada became one of the founding members of the WHO. The formation of the WHO aligned with Pearson's vision of a world where nations worked together to address common challenges, including health crises.

In conclusion, Lester B. Pearson's diplomatic contributions to the formation of Israel and the WHO provide valuable insights into

Canada's multilateral vision in the post-war era. Pearson's belief in the power of international cooperation as a means to address global challenges influenced Canada's role in these pivotal events, underlining the importance of multilateral diplomacy in shaping the post-WWII world order. His influence on these events illustrates the significance of principled diplomacy and the pursuit of common goals in international relations.

## Carlos P. Romulo: The Philippines on the Global Stage

Carlos P. Romulo, a distinguished diplomat and the first Asian President of the United Nations General Assembly, had a profound influence on the Philippines' role in international affairs post-WWII, particularly with regard to the establishment of Israel and the formation of the World Health Organization (WHO).

When it came to the United Nations' resolution on the partition of Palestine, the Philippines, under Romulo's leadership, found itself in a unique position. The country had a close relationship with the United States, which was supportive of the creation of a Jewish state, but it also had to consider the sentiments of the broader Asian region and its own national interests. After much deliberation, the Philippines voted in favor of the partition plan, making it the only Asian country to do so. Romulo's role in this decision underscored his diplomatic acumen and the Philippines' commitment to finding a humane solution to the Jewish refugee crisis after the Holocaust.

Simultaneously, the establishment of the World Health Organization represented a significant opportunity for international collaboration in public health. Romulo, an advocate for global cooperation and shared responsibility, guided the Philippines to become a founding member of the WHO. The Philippines' involvement in the WHO reflected Romulo's vision for the country as an active participant in international affairs, particularly in areas

such as health that have a direct impact on the well-being of its citizens.

In conclusion, Carlos P. Romulo's influence on the Philippines' decisions regarding the establishment of Israel and the formation of the WHO provides a valuable case study of a nation navigating the complexities of international diplomacy in the post-WWII era. His leadership underscores the delicate balance between national interests, humanitarian considerations, and international solidarity in global decision-making.

## Trygve Lie: Guiding the United Nations

Trygve Lie, the first Secretary-General of the United Nations, played an instrumental role in the UN's early activities, including the resolution regarding the partition of Palestine and the establishment of the World Health Organization (WHO).

As Secretary-General, Lie was responsible for guiding the newly formed United Nations through a range of complex and unprecedented issues. The question of Palestine was one such issue. Lie navigated this diplomatically sensitive situation with careful neutrality, upholding the principles of the UN Charter and the will of the member nations. When the General Assembly voted in favor of the partition of Palestine, Lie oversaw the implementation of this resolution. His deft handling of the situation demonstrated his commitment to the UN's principles of self-determination and the peaceful resolution of conflicts.

Simultaneously, Lie was also deeply involved in the formation of the World Health Organization. Recognizing the critical importance of global health in the post-war world, Lie championed the establishment of a specialized agency dedicated to this issue. The WHO's creation was in line with the UN's broader objectives of promoting social progress and better living standards. Under

Lie's leadership, the UN actively supported the WHO's formation, reinforcing the organization's position within the UN system and its role in addressing global health challenges.

In conclusion, Trygve Lie's role in the United Nations during its early years offers a fascinating insight into the organization's formative activities, including the partition of Palestine and the establishment of the WHO. His leadership demonstrated a steadfast commitment to the UN's principles of peace, self-determination, and international cooperation, underscoring the pivotal role of the UN in shaping the post-WWII world order.

## Henri Bonnet: France's UN Ambassador

Henri Bonnet, serving as France's Ambassador to the United Nations, played a crucial role in shaping France's stance on key international issues in the aftermath of World War II, including the partition of Palestine and the establishment of the World Health Organization (WHO).

Bonnet was a central figure in French diplomacy at the time of the UN's resolution on the partition of Palestine. He represented France in the General Assembly when it voted on the issue. France, under Bonnet's representation, supported the partition plan, a decision guided by both the humanitarian concerns for Jewish refugees and geopolitical considerations. The role of Bonnet in this decision-making process highlights the complexities of diplomatic representation and decision-making in the international arena.

Simultaneously, Bonnet was also involved in France's participation in the formation of the WHO. With its substantial colonial empire, France was particularly conscious of global health issues. Additionally, after suffering the devastating effects of two World Wars, France was acutely aware of the need for

international cooperation in health. France, therefore, became a founding member of the WHO, with Bonnet representing the nation during these early stages of the organization.

In conclusion, Henri Bonnet's role in shaping France's decisions regarding the partition of Palestine and the establishment of the WHO underscores the significant influence of individual diplomats in representing their nations on the global stage. Bonnet's diplomacy during this critical period in history provides valuable insights into the interplay of national interests, humanitarian concerns, and global cooperation in international decision-making processes.

## Szeming Sze: China's Health Diplomacy

Szeming Sze, a prominent Chinese diplomat and one of the key architects of the World Health Organization (WHO), played an instrumental role in shaping China's approach to global health diplomacy and its stance on the establishment of Israel in the post-World War II period.

Sze was deeply involved in the discussions that led to the formation of the WHO. His passion for global health was rooted in his medical background, and he was instrumental in promoting the idea of a specialized UN agency dedicated to health issues. Sze advocated for the inclusion of health as a fundamental right in the United Nations Charter and was a key figure in drafting the WHO's constitution. His efforts to ensure that health was given a prominent role in the international agenda underlines the significance of individual diplomacy in shaping global health norms and structures.

At the same time, China was navigating its stance on the contentious issue of the establishment of Israel. In the United Nations, China abstained from the vote on the partition of

Palestine in 1947, reflecting the nation's careful diplomatic balancing act in the complex geopolitical landscape of the post-war period. While Sze's primary diplomatic contributions were in the health sector, his broader influence as a Chinese diplomat would have been part of the collective diplomatic considerations of the Chinese government.

In conclusion, Szeming Sze's influential role in the establishment of the WHO and the unfolding of China's stance on Israel provides valuable insights into the dynamics of international health diplomacy and the complex interplay of geopolitics, humanitarian concerns, and national interests in the immediate post-World War II period. His work highlights the vital role of diplomats in shaping the direction of international relations and the emergence of global norms and institutions.

## Conclusion: Evaluating the Diplomatic Landscape

The establishment of Israel and the formation of the World Health Organization (WHO) in the aftermath of World War II were watershed moments in the history of international relations. These events were shaped by a complex interplay of geopolitical, humanitarian, and national interests, as navigated by a diverse array of influential diplomats and leaders.

Each of the figures examined - from U.S. President Harry S. Truman to Chinese diplomat Szeming Sze - brought their unique perspectives and diplomatic styles to these historic decisions. Their collective actions reveal the breadth of considerations and negotiations that underpin key decisions on the international stage.

Truman and Ernest Bevin, influenced by a range of political, social, and humanitarian factors, guided their nations to support the partition of Palestine and the formation of the WHO. Georges

Bidault and Henri Bonnet managed France's diplomatic interests, balancing post-war recovery needs with their broader international obligations. Chaim Weizmann's influence extended beyond his scientific contributions to the ideological foundation of a new nation, while Jawaharlal Nehru navigated India's newly independent diplomatic path.

The figures from the Soviet Union, South Africa, and Canada - Andrei Vyshinsky, Jan Christiaan Smuts, and Lester B. Pearson, respectively - demonstrate how their countries' geopolitical interests and ideologies influenced these critical decisions. The Philippines' Carlos P. Romulo and UN Secretary-General Trygve Lie personify the dilemmas of smaller nations and international organizations in influencing global outcomes.

Szeming Sze, through his advocacy for global health, demonstrates how specific sectors like health diplomacy can shape broader international relations and national policies. His role underscores the importance of specialized diplomacy and the impact it can have on global cooperative efforts.

In conclusion, the establishment of Israel and the WHO in the post-WWII era provides a compelling study of international diplomacy. These events underscore the significant roles played by key individuals in influencing global outcomes. Moreover, they highlight the ongoing implications of these decisions, as both Israel and the WHO continue to play crucial roles in our world today. Reflecting on these histories, we gain a nuanced understanding of the intricacies of international diplomacy, the far-reaching impacts of crucial decisions, and the lasting legacy of the diplomats who navigate these complex waters.